IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO :2976 of 2007

DATED:02.07.2007.

Between:

G.V.Narasimhamurthy

.....

PETITIONER

AND

1. State of A.P rep by its Principal Secretary,
to Government, Revenue Dept Secretariat, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTs

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
&
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO:2976 OF 2007

ORDER: (Per the Honourable Smt.Justice T.Meenakumari)

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking to call for the records pertaining to the order dated 29.1.2007 passed in O.A.No:6654/2006 by the A.P. Administrative Tribunal and set aside the same as unjust.

The petitioner is the applicant before the tribunal. Originally, he filed O.A.6654 of 2006 seeking to declare the action of the 1st and 2nd respondents in not appointing the applicant as Additional Commissioner of Endowments on in charge basis as illegal and arbitrary and to direct them to follow the seniority among the candidates eligible for being appointed to the post of Additional Commissioner of Endowments and direct them to appoint him as Additional Commissioner of Endowments on in charge basis till the regular promotions are affected.

The Tribunal having rejected the claim of the applicant for appointment as Additional Commissioner on in charge basis by following the seniority, directed the respondents not to place his juniors as Additional Commissioners on the basis of in charge arrangements directly under the control and supervision of the post where the applicant is working. Having aggrieved by the

same, the present writ petition is filed by the applicant.

Heard the learned counsel and perused the order impugned as well as the material available on record.

The grievance of the applicant is that his junior is posted as in charge additional commissioner and he is made to work under him and it is embarrassing to him.

In the present facts and circumstances of the case as well as Rule 10 (h) of A.P. State and Subordinate Services Rules, We feel that the tribunal is justified in rejecting the claim of the applicant for appointment as additional commissioner on in charge basis by following the seniority. Consequently, We see no reasons to entertain this petition. However, considering the grievance of the applicant, it is made clear that the respondents shall not place his juniors as additional commissioners on the basis of in charge arrangements directly under the control and supervision of the said post where the applicant is working.

Subject to the above observation, this W.P. is disposed of. No costs.

(JUSTICE T.MEENAKUMARI)

Date:2nd July, 2007

(JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH)

gurc/nn

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
&
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO:2976 of 2007

(Order delivered by the Honourable Smt.Justice T.Meena Kumari)

2nd July, 2007.